Flummoxing Paradox of Pleural Effusion: Contralateral Effusion with Different Cytobiochemical Features Evolving on Anti-Tubercular Chemotherapy
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Abstract

Paradoxical phenomenon is known to occur in cases of tuberculous lymphadenopathy and intracranial tuberculomas during treatment. This is not so common in cases of pleural effusion due to tuberculosis. Case of young immunocompetent female is described who developed pleural effusion on opposite side within 10 days of anti-tubercular treatment being taken for pleural effusion on one side. Cytobiochemistry of the subsequent fluid was different from the former effusion. Ultimately both resolved on continuation of same treatment.
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Introduction

Tuberculosis is the most common cause of pleural effusion (PE) in our country. Tuberculous pleurisy is self-limiting and, therefore, is considered as an example of protective immunity [1]. Paradoxical response is referred to an unusual expansion of existing lesion or formation of a new lesion during successful anti-tubercular chemotherapy. Paradoxical worsening of the tuberculous lesions has been frequently described to occur weeks or months after the start of anti-tuberculous therapy in the case of lymphadenopathy or intracranial tuberculoma [2-4]. Similarly, paradoxical response has been observed during treatment of pulmonary tuberculosis which can lead to an adult respiratory distress syndrome [5]. On the contrary, paradoxical worsening of tuberculous pleural effusion is mentioned only in rare case reports [6].

A case young female is discussed who developed symptomatic right side pleural effusion with ten days of antitubercular treatment which she had been taking for pleural effusion on the left side. Moreover pleural fluid characteristics of either side were distinct but finally responded to continuation of same anti-tubercular chemotherapy.

Case Report

Thirty four year old female presented with right side chest pain associated with fever for last 3 days. Patient was under treatment of her family physician. She was taking anti-tubercular treatment (ATT) for last 10 days for left side pleural effusion detected on chest X-ray (Figure 1) and confirmed by ultrasound thorax. As per records, pleural fluid aspirated before starting ATT was exudate, lymphocytic predominant and with high adenosine deaminase (ADA) level (Figure 4).

Chest X-ray (Figure 2) was repeated. It was suggestive of pleural effusion on right side. Ultrasound of chest confirmed right side pleural effusion. There was no collection in the left pleural space. Total white cell count was 7400/cc P78L12E1M8B1. Liver and renal biochemistries were normal. Computerised tomography of thorax (Figure 3) was also consistent with right side pleural effusion without any parenchymal lesion. Pleural aspiration from right side yielded turbid yellow fluid. Cytobiochemical examination revealed exudative fluid,
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high cell counts with polymorphonuclear predominance and low ADA. Injectable antibiotics were given suspecting empyema as she was running fever (ranging 101-103°F). Culture of pleural fluid yielded no growth.

Figure 1: Chest X-ray: Left pleural effusion.

Figure 2: Chest X-ray: Right pleural effusion.

Figure 3: CT scan: right pleural effusion.

Patient revealed that she had not been well for last 4 months. Initially she had pain abdomen and loss of appetite associated with malaise. Ultrasound abdomen at that time revealed some lymph nodes. She was treated for enteric fever with antibiotics for ten days. But she continued to have low appetite and general weakness. She managed herself on appetisers and diet supplements. She presented to her family physician this time with side chest pain associated with fever. Investigations, diagnosis and treatment that followed are described above.

Based on history, other possible causes were speculated. Considering lupus pleuritis and collagen vascular diseases as differential diagnosis, serum antinuclear antibodies (ANA) and rheumatoid factor were requested. Serum ANA and RA factor were reported negative.
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Subsequently Gene Xpert in pleural fluid was positive for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (MTB). She was continued on ATT. Fever subsided followed by chest pain. Follow up chest x-ray after 4 weeks of ATT (Figure 4) showed resolution of pleural effusion with mild pleural thickening on right side.

**Figure 4:** Chest X-ray: Right pleural thickening.

### Discussion

Paradoxical events during anti-tuberculous chemotherapy such as persistent fever, newly developing and enlarging lymph nodes, choroid or brain tubercles and even acute respiratory distress syndrome which bears an immunological basis have been reported [2,7]. This response has been rarely reported in cases of pleural effusion. This paradoxical response has been documented to occur 3 - 4 weeks after the start of ATT [6]. The young female described in the present case developed pleural effusion on the opposite side within 10 days of starting ATT. The evolution of such imminent paradoxical effusion is rare to find in the literature [8].

A large study of 458 patients with pleural tuberculosis found paradoxical response in 16% of the patients. This is far less than 30% paradoxical reaction reported for tubercular lymphadenopathy [3,9]. Majority of the case reports have mentioned worsening on the same side of pleural effusion. In this case however, pleural effusion developed on the opposite side. Contralateral pleural paradox has precedence of very few cases (two cases to my knowledge) in the literature [8,10].

Pleural fluid characteristics of the paradoxical effusion wherever it has been mentioned is similar to that of the previous effusion. However the most interesting point in this case is that both pleural fluid biochemistries and cellular composition were different (Table 1) causing a diagnostic dilemma. The earlier occurring left effusion was predominantly lymphocytic with high ADA whereas the later occurring right side effusion was polymorphonuclear predominant with low ADA level and that was reconfirmed with repeat analysis. And this is why, serum ANA was done to rule out other etiology. ANA was negative, Gram stain and aerobic culture were non-yielding, PCR for MTB was positive in the right side effusion and finally response to ATT lead to conclude that it was none else than due to tuberculosis.

### Table 1: Pleural fluid characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pleural fluid</th>
<th>Left pleural effusion</th>
<th>Paradoxical right pleural effusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cell count</td>
<td>3250</td>
<td>4900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymorphs: Lymphocytes</td>
<td>40:60</td>
<td>90:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH</td>
<td>Not done</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant cytology</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene X-pert</td>
<td>Not done</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The reason for paradoxical response has been attributed to ‘immunological rebound’ by which the improved cell-mediated immunity (CMI) after treatment coincided with excessive antigen load (bacterial cell-wall residues) resulting from rapid bacterial lysis. This has been proposed for tubercular lymphadenitis and intracranial tuberculomas [3,4]. Rapid bactericidal drugs like Isoniazid (INH) and Rifampicin could be worse offenders than bacteriostatic drugs. The same mechanisms could be operational in the case of pleural effusion. INH is well known to induce lupus though the reported cases are rare. An elevated level of ANA and a decreased level of CH50 are found in the effusion fluid which are characteristic of lupus pleuritis [3].

Corticosteroids are known to modify the severity of the clinical manifestations of tuberculosis [5]. However, there is no data that corticosteroids could prevent the paradoxical worsening seen in various organs. In the present case, pleural effusion on either side resolved with continuation of ATT and steroid was not used.

Conclusion

Development of pleural effusion on the opposite side during treatment of tuberculous pleural effusion is a very rare phenomenon and often prompts the clinician to consider and rule out other possible causes. However it warrants no change in treatment and responds to same chemotherapy regimen.
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