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Abstract

An adequate analytical method can be the first step in the rational use of pharmaceuticals. Currently, however, the effectiveness of the method of analysis is not enough. It should also be environmentally friendly, dynamic and low cost. A product can present more than one analytical method for its evaluation, and then, before adopting any of them, it is necessary to know if they are equivalent. Rifaximin, an antimicrobial, presents analytical methods by spectrophotometry in the ultraviolet region (UV), spectrophotometry in the infrared region (IR), capillary electrophoresis (CE), high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and turbidimetric bioassay for evaluation of its tablets. This work shows the comparison of these methods in the evaluation of the final product quality of rifaximin, in addition to comparing the equivalence of physical (UV, IR, CE, HPLC) and turbidimetric microbiological methods. The analysis of rifaximin tablets by physico-chemical and microbiological methods were statistically equivalent and can be interchangeable.
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Introduction

Analytical methods are tools which aim to evaluate the quality of a product.

A practical and accurate method of analysis can be the first step in the rational use of medicines, since the quality of a pharmaceutical product is directly related to the health of patients [1,2].

Thus, effective analytical methods are extremely important and aim to provide reliable information on the true status of the pharmaceutical product available for the population.

However, currently, in addition to the requirement of the analytical methods are adequate, they should also be environmentally friendly. The use of methods considered green makes unnecessary the remediation of environmental impacts frequently observed today. Currently, the adoption of ecologically correct and effective methods is a growing and required reality in the chemical-pharmaceutical world [3-5].

Other items to be considered in the choice or development of an analytical method, aiming the current analytical needs, are detection and separation of all compounds of interest, rapid analysis to optimize equipment and analysts, reduction of the number of steps, reduction of sample pretreatment, low cost of analysis and prices of reagents, procedures, accessories and machines [6,7].

In the presence of more than one analytical method for the evaluation of a pharmaceutical product or if the existing analytical method is not accessible, it is necessary to evaluate if they are equivalent before adopting one.

Comparison of methodologies is necessary to determine if the variability of the methods differs significantly. USP 39 [8] recommends evaluating the parameters of precision and accuracy to prove the equivalence of the methodologies.
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Rifaximin is an antimicrobial used for the treatment of hepatic encephalopathy, travelers’ diarrhea, irritable bowel syndrome, infections by *Clostridium difficile*, ulcerative colitis and acute diarrhea, marketed in the form of tablets [9-17]. Analytical methods by spectrophotometry in the ultraviolet region (UV) [18,19], spectrophotometry in the infrared region (IR) [20], capillary electrophoresis (CE) [21], high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) [22] and turbidimetry [23,24] are available for evaluation of its tablets.

This work shows the comparison of these methods in the evaluation of the quality of the final product of rifaximin, besides comparing the equivalence of the physical methods and the microbiological method. It also raises a discussion about the association of analytical methods and advantages of one with respect to another.

**Experimental Part**

**Material**

The standard used was rifaximin, 99.0%, obtained from NutraTech Development Limited (China).

The pharmaceutical form used was rifaximin 200 mg tablets (labeled content), from Gonher Farmaceutica Ltda. The average weight of 20 tablets was used for the sample preparations.

**Method**

The methods used in the quantification of rifaximin tablets for evaluation and subsequent comparison of content between them were UV [19], IR [20], CE [21], HPLC [22,23] and turbidimetry [23,24].

All methods were previously validated according to International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH) [25].

**UV**

Rifaximin solutions at concentration of 22 µg mL⁻¹ were prepared in purified water (Millipore™) with 20% ethyl alcohol (Synth™) and subsequently filtered. Spectrophotometer UV (Shimadzu™) and quartz cuvettes with 1 cm optical path at 290 nm were used for the readings at absorbance [19].

**IR**

Rifaximin pellets with 2 mg of drug were prepared in potassium bromide (Synth™), previously dried for 24 hours in an oven at 105°C, and the readings were carried out on spectrophotometer IR (Shimadzu™) using the carbonyl band at 1767 - 1701 cm⁻¹ [20].

**CE**

Rifaximin solutions at concentration of 300 µg mL⁻¹ were prepared in purified water (Millipore™) with 20% ethyl alcohol (J.T. Baker™) and subsequently filtered. Capillary Electrophoresis System (Beckman Coulter™), uncoated fused silica capillary, borate buffer 25 mM pH 9.5, +20 kV at 290 nm were used for the readings [21].

**HPLC**

Rifaximin solutions at concentration of 30 µg mL⁻¹ were prepared in the mobile phase (water (Millipore™) + 0.1% glacial acetic acid (J.T. Baker™) and ethyl alcohol (PanReac AppliChem™), 52:48 v/v). Chromatographic System (Waters™), C18 column (Eclipse Plus™), flow rate 0.9 mL min⁻¹, injection volume 20 μL, 25°C at 290 nm [22,23].

**Turbidimetry**

Rifaximin solutions at concentration of 50, 70 and 98 µg mL⁻¹ were prepared in purified water (Millipore™) with 20% ethyl alcohol (Synth™). Spectrophotometer (Quimis™) at 530 nm, shaker (Marconi™), *Escherichia coli* ATCC 10536 at 8% and broth Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) (Acumedia™) were used. Positive and negative controls were done at each analysis [23,24].

The content of rifaximin in the tablets was calculated by comparing the results from standard and sample, both prepared under the same conditions and simultaneously aiming to avoid differences and errors not inherent to the product.

**Results and Discussion**

Table 1 presents the different parameters determined for the quantitative methods of UV, IR, CE, HPLC and turbidimetry.
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The methods can be considered satisfactory, since RSD values for precision are less than 5% for physico-chemical methods and less than 15% for the microbiological method and the accuracy is close to 100% [26,27].

Another way to prove the equivalence of the methods is to compare the dosing data, shown in table 2, using ANOVA, Fisher’s Test-F and Student’s Test-t for parametric data.

The results of the statistical treatment are presented in tables 3 and 4.

Table 1: Applicability, precision and accuracy of validated methods of spectrophotometry in the ultraviolet region, spectrophotometry in the infrared region, capillary electrophoresis, high performance liquid chromatography and turbidimetry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>UV</th>
<th>IR</th>
<th>HPLC</th>
<th>CE</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading range</td>
<td></td>
<td>290 nm</td>
<td>1767 - 1701 cm^-1</td>
<td>290 nm</td>
<td>290 nm</td>
<td>530 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration range</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 - 30 µg mL^-1</td>
<td>1.0 - 3.5 mg</td>
<td>5 - 50 µg mL^-1</td>
<td>50 - 500 µg mL^-1</td>
<td>50 - 98 µg mL^-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equation: y = ax + b</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0270</td>
<td>0.2243</td>
<td>38.006</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>-0.8143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>0.9976</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraday precision</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.17</td>
<td>100.13</td>
<td>100.41</td>
<td>100.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interday precision</td>
<td></td>
<td>109.28</td>
<td>107.38</td>
<td>109.08</td>
<td>106.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>106.95</td>
<td>110.49</td>
<td>107.94</td>
<td>107.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Values obtained in the determination of rifaximin content in tablets using spectrophotometry in the ultraviolet region, spectrophotometry in the infrared region, capillary electrophoresis, high performance liquid chromatography and turbidimetry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>UV</th>
<th>IR</th>
<th>HPLC</th>
<th>CE</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Content (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>106.95</td>
<td>110.49</td>
<td>107.94</td>
<td>106.12</td>
<td>107.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average content (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>107.16</td>
<td>108.73</td>
<td>106.33</td>
<td>104.10</td>
<td>107.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>113.71</td>
<td>101.45</td>
<td>113.27</td>
<td>112.02</td>
<td>105.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Analysis of variance of the contents obtained in physicochemical methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variation sources</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>QM</th>
<th>F_calculated</th>
<th>F_critical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between groups</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within groups</td>
<td>144.31</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>153.89</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Test-F and Test-t for the contents obtained using physico-chemical and microbiological methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>P_calculated</th>
<th>Calculated</th>
<th>Critical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>19.40*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>2.16*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of rifaximin dosing in tablets obtained by physico-chemical methods of UV, IR, CE and HPLC were analyzed for variance. They were statistically the same (F_cal < F_critical) and they can be interchangeable for the physico-chemical quantitative determination of rifaximin tablets.

In the comparison between averages for quantitative variables containing two samples, Fisher’s variance Test for independent samples showed equal variances for rifaximin dosing data by physico-chemical (UV, IR, CE, HPLC) and microbiological techniques (Turbidimetry), in which F_cal < F_critical. Thus, the Test-t used was homoscedastic.

The results obtained through the Student’s Test-t showed no significant difference between the proposed physico-chemical and microbiological methods, at the significance level of 5%. Thus, the validated methods are equivalent and therefore interchangeable for the physico-chemical and microbiological quantitative determination of rifaximin in tablets.
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Although the methods show interchangeability, each one has its own characteristics. It is worth highlighting that the main difference between these methods is the advantages and limitations of one in relation to the other.

Price of equipment, price and quantity of reagents, price of accessories, cost of maintenance and training, time of analysis, number of stages and processes, sample pretreatment, type of reagent, waste treatment are items to be evaluated in the choice of method to be adopted in the evaluation of pharmaceutical products [4,7,28].

This is the current view of chemical-pharmaceutical analyses, in which the analysis is seen as a whole. It contemplates the time concern that invites processes, financial resources, environmental contamination and the health of the operator.

In the case of antimicrobials, the association of physico-chemical and microbiological methods is extremely important. Not always the part of the molecule detected in the physico-chemical method is the same responsible for the activity. So, the association of these two types of methods is mandatory [29-36].

The analysis of rifaximin tablets by physico-chemical (UV, IR, CE, HPLC) and Turbidimetric microbiological methods were statistically equivalent, and any methods can be used for its evaluation. However, it should be remembered that in the case of antimicrobials, such as rifaximin, the simultaneous analysis of a physico-chemical method and a microbiological method is fundamental for the release of reliable results.

Conclusion
The evaluation of the content of rifaximin tablets can be performed by physicochemical methods, UV, IR, CE and HPLC, as well as by turbidimetric microbiological method. However, their association is crucial.
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