

Associating Liver Partition and Portal Vein Ligation for Staged Hepatectomy in 2020

Sorin Cimpean^{1*}, Kelly Ann Bobb² and Guy-Bernard Cadriere¹

¹Saint Pierre University Hospital, Brussels, Belgium

²Eric Williams Medical Center Science Complex, Champ Fleurs, Trinidad and Tobago

*Corresponding Author: Sorin Cimpean, Digestive Surgery Department, Saint Pierre University Hospital, Brussels, Belgium.

Received: April 04, 2020; Published: May 04, 2020

Abstract

Associated liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy (ALPPS) is proposed as a surgical option for the patients with no other surgical option with failed portal vein embolization or extremely small future liver remnant. Since the first publication of the technique in 2012, this technique evolved nowadays to partial ALPPS and minimal-invasive ALPPS. Conventional two-stage hepatectomy remains a feasible option for older patients and those with a liver to body-weight-ratio of > 0,4.

Keywords: Associated Liver Partition and Portal Vein Ligation for Staged Hepatectomy (ALPPS); Future Liver Remnant (FLR); Two-Stage Hepatectomy (TSH); Portal Vein Ligation (PVL)

Introduction

The surgical strategies in the treatment of advanced liver tumour in order to achieve resectability for patient with no other surgical option like failed portal vein embolization or extremely small future liver remnant (FLR) are two-stage hepatectomy (TSH) with or without portal vein ligation (PVL) or portal vein embolization (PVE) and associated liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy (ALPPS). TSH and ALPPS are in fact complementary strategies [1,2].

Materials and Methods

To analyse the latest information of concerning the ALPPS we perform a publications research on PubMed, Cochrane and Medline database publications using: associated liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy, ALPPS, two stage hepatectomy, portal vein embolization. The publications included were case reports, reviews and studies.

Discussions

Timeline of ALPPS technique

The history of ALPPS begins in 2007 when it was performed the first in-situ split. The first publication of this technique was recorded in 2012 and in 2014 was performed the first Tourniquet ALPPS and Hybrid ALPPS. In 2015 partial ALPPS and ablation assisted ALPPS followed. In 2016 was recorded Robotic ALPPS and in 2017 partial TIPE ALPPS (ALPPS with trans ileocolic portal vein embolization), Laparoscopic TIPE and Mini ALPPS [3].

FLR regeneration

Insufficient FLR predisposes to important post-hepatectomy liver failure and mortality. The rapid hypertrophy induced by ALPPS was attributed initially to the tissue oedema rather than expansion of hepatocytes but recently studies demonstrated the stimulatory effect on cellular proliferation [4]. The early stages presents an accelerated liver regeneration and a activation of signalling pathways. Comparing standard hepatectomy with ALPPS surgery, Hauke Lang, *et al.* demonstrates that 04 hours after the surgery the difference between the two techniques is quantitative and qualitative. ALPPS-specific signature marked by the suppression of the IFN Main Pathway, reflecting significant acceleration of liver regeneration which demarcates from standard hepatectomy [5]. Tomassini F, *et al.* demonstrates that the regeneration process of the FLR after ALPPS is directly correlated to the increase of the liver portal perfusion, and a moderate hemodynamic stress (portal gradient < 15 mmHg or PVP < 20 mmHg) at the end of ALPPS stage-1 [6]. The same author found that a daily gain in volume percentage at hepatobiliary scintigraphy < 4.1%/day and a volume of the future liver remnant < 2.7%/min/m² are at high risk of post hepatectomy liver failure (PHLF) in ALPPS and their second stage should be re-discussed [7]. The rapid induction of liver growth rate in the FLR makes ALPPS a very attractive treatment option [8].

ALPPS is criticise due high rates of operative morbidity and mortality, but also to the high recurrence rates. The most important concern is the relationship between the tumour resectability and the improvement of survival [9]. Chihan Peng, *et al.* retrospective study on 20 patients, concluded that ALPPS is a feasible treatment for HCC patients and it provides a better long-term survival than transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) and have similar long-term survival with resection [10,11]. Hahn Oszkár, *et al.* in a study on 20 patients conclude that the mortality and morbidity of ALPPS can be reduced by proper patient selection and 'no touch' surgical technique [12]. Jan Bednarsch, *et al.* in a study on 14 patients and 12 who completed the surgery, with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma conclude that ALPPS is feasible and shows a 3-year survival of 64%. In case of Klatskin tumour, Overall, M Nagino, *et al.* revealed that ALPPS may not generally be necessary and the indication is limited [13].

Partial ALPPS

Concerning the partial ALPPS, Xukun Wu, *et al.* in a study on 124 patients found that FLR hypertrophy and time between stages are no different between partial ALPPS and complete ALPPS and the postoperative complications in partial ALPPS are lower than that in the complete ALPPS group. Also, mortality rate in the partial ALPPS group was markedly lower than in the complete ALPPS [14]. According to Stéphanie Truant, laparoscopic p-ALPPS is feasible and seems less aggressive than the original ALPPS and can be a feasible alternative to the classical portal vein embolization (PVE) and two-stage hepatectomy strategy [15]. Nagappan Kumar, *et al.* in a study of 8 patients on p-ALPPS found it was obtained the adequate FLR hypertrophy at a median of 28 days. No mortality was found and the median increase in FLR was 38% [16].

Partial ALPPS in cirrhosis

Victor Lopez-Lopez, *et al.* showed that ALPPS could induce FLR hypertrophy in cirrhotic livers within a short period of time. Complete split tended to induce a more rapid FLR hypertrophy than partial split in cirrhosis [17]. Han-Chun Huang, *et al.* in a systematic review and meta-analysis on ALPPS and p-ALPPS showed that p-ALPPS is safer than ALPPS in patients without cirrhosis and exhibits the same rate of FLR hypertrophy. In contrast, ALPPS seemed to have a better outcome in the cirrhotic group [18].

TSH and ALPPS

According to Joost Huiskens, *et al.* for older patients and those with a liver to body-weight-ratio of > 0,4 a conventional two-stage hepatectomy with is preferable [19]. TSH approach continues to be a safe procedure in selected patients, associated with low operative risk and good oncologic results [20-22].

Conclusion

ALPPS is considered the last resort in patients with advanced tumours and no other surgical option, after failed PVE and insufficient FLR. Less-invasive ALPPS modifications seems to decrease the mortality. The last years there is a trend for minimal-invasive surgery and for partial ALPPS. A careful selection of the patients remains essential for the good outcomes. Conventional two-stage hepatectomy is a remains a choice especially for older patients and those with a liver to body-weight-ratio of > 0,4.

Bibliography

1. Baumgart J., *et al.* "Two-Stage Hepatectomy and ALPPS for Advanced Bilateral Liver Metastases: a Tailored Approach Balancing Risk and Outcome". *The Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery* 23.12 (2019): 2391-400.
2. Lopez-Lopez V., *et al.* "ALPPS for hepatocarcinoma under cirrhosis: a feasible alternative to portal vein embolization". *Annals of Translational Medicine* 7.22 (2019): 691-691.
3. Lang H., *et al.* "Associated Liver Partition and Portal Vein Ligation for Staged Hepatectomy (ALPPS) Registry: What Have We Learned?" *Gut and Liver* (2020).
4. Tomassini F., *et al.* "Hemodynamic changes in ALPPS influence liver regeneration and function: results from a prospective study". *HPB* 21.5 (2019): 557-565.
5. Lang H., *et al.* "Associating Liver Partition and Portal Vein Ligation for Staged Hepatectomy in the Treatment of Colorectal Liver Metastases: Current Scenario". *Digestive Surgery* 35.4 (2018): 294-302.
6. Hahn O., *et al.* "Speciális májműtét (Associating Liver Partition and Portal vein ligation for Staged hepatectomy, ALPPS)". *Orvosi Hetilap* 160.32 (2019): 1260-1269.
7. Huiskens J., *et al.* "Avoiding postoperative mortality after ALPPS-development of a tumor-specific risk score for colorectal liver metastases". *HPB* 21.7 (2019): 898-905.
8. Wu X., *et al.* "Partial ALPPS versus complete ALPPS for staged hepatectomy". *BMC Gastroenterology* 19.1 (2019): 170.
9. Peng C., *et al.* "The outcome of the HCC patients underwent ALPPS: Retrospective study". *Medicine* 98.38 (2019): e17182.
10. Nagino M. "Value of ALPPS in surgery for Klatskin tumours". *British Journal of Surgery* 106.12 (2019): 1574-1575.
11. Lau WY. "Associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy (ALPPS) and its further developments in the last decade". *HepatoBiliary Surgery and Nutrition* 8.3 (2019): 258-259.
12. Chan ACY and Lo CM. "Associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy (ALPPS)-pushing the envelope in modulation of future liver remnant before major hepatectomy". *HepatoBiliary Surgery and Nutrition* 9.1 (2020): 80-82.
13. Baili E., *et al.* "Associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy in patients with primary liver malignancies: A systematic review of the literature". *Journal of the Balkan Union of Oncology* 24.4 (2019): 1371-1381.
14. Truant Stéphanie., *et al.* "Laparoscopic Partial ALPPS: Much Better Than ALPPS!". *Annals of Hepatology* (2018).
15. Bednarsch J., *et al.* "The role of ALPPS in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma". *Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery* 404.7 (2019): 885-894.
16. Kumar N., *et al.* "Partial ALPPS with a longer wait between procedures is safe and yields adequate future liver remnant hypertrophy". *Annals of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Surgery* 23.1 (2019): 13.

17. Giuliani F and Ardito F. "ALPPS Versus Conventional Two-stage Hepatectomy in Patients with Advanced Colorectal Liver Metastases". *Annals of Surgery* 269.1 (2019): 833-840.
18. Huang H-C., et al. "Complete or partial split in associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy: A systematic review and meta-analysis". *World Journal of Gastroenterology* 25.39 (2019): 6016-6024.
19. Xiang F and Hu Z-M. "Chance and challenge of associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy". *Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Diseases International* 18.3 (2019): 214-222.
20. Borger P, et al. "Exploration of the Transcriptional Landscape of ALPPS Reveals the Pathways of Accelerated Liver Regeneration". *Frontiers in Oncology* (2019): 1206.
21. Lang H. "ALPPS - Beneficial or detrimental?" *Surgical Oncology* (2019): 30456-30466.
22. Tomassini F, et al. "Hepatobiliary scintigraphy and kinetic growth rate predict liver failure after ALPPS: a multi-institutional study". *HPB* (2020): 30029-30030.

Volume 3 Issue 6 June 2020

© All rights reserved by Sorin Cimpean., et al.